

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/857,067

Applicant(s)

NEIDLEIN ET AL.

Examiner

Sabiha N. Qazi

Art Unit

1616

**All Participants:**(1) Sabiha N. Qazi.(2) Jason D. Voight.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 August 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner spoke to Mr. Voight because the definition of R7 in claims 5 and 6. The "=" and "=" in claims may be changed to "is a" and "is not" respectively. Mr. Voight has authorized the Examiner to amend the claims as discussed.